

UIRR, the voice of european intermodal freight transport in Europe



UIRR TERMINALS

PARTNERS MOU PEERS















:j:ECSA





































UIRR OPERATORS

























Combined Transport Directive: amendment in progress





European Commission: the proposal for the revision was adopted in November 2023, the definition was based on external costs.



European Council: the Hungarian presidency inherited the dossier from Belgium in July, a compromise proposal was tabled in October, based on criteria for the "suitability". The CT sector supports in principle the compromise proposal on the definition, but there is a blocking minority of AT, DE, FR and NL. The Polish Presidency decided to wait for the Parliament to begin discussions – working party discussions are suspended.



European Parliament: the rapporteur (Flavio Tosi, EPP, former Mayor of Verona) and shadow rapporteurs were appointed. Discussions to deliver the first reading have not yet started.

















Combined Transport Directive amendment: main issues



- 1. Definition of combined transport operation: which types of intermodal transport should qualify for special support?
- 2. Uniform EU-level benefits: (i) Article 4 equivalence unchanged, (ii) 44-tonne gross vehicle weight for CT road legs of all loading units (incl semi trailers), (iii) drive-ban exemption for CT Road Legs
- 3. Member State benefits: in line with *national policy frameworks*, at least for a reduction of 10% of operating expenses based on the studies required in the TEN-T Guidelines Regulation
- **4. Support of daily operations + digitalisation**: (i) central EU portal to disseminate Member State derogations and programmes, (ii) ILU-Code for every semi-trailer carried in an unaccompanied combined transport operation in line with the UIC IRS under preparation



Combined Transport Directive amendment: definition



- 1. Link to the EU Transport Externalities Handbook: presents a degree of uncertainty that was deemed unacceptable
- 2. Mandatory eFTI compliance: scepticism whether the July 2027 deadline will be feasible many believe based on other examples that Member States will be substantially delayed.
- → COMPLICATION ALARM! the proposed definition should be simplified

Alternative solutions:

- **Distance based definition**: digital definition, but specifying the non-road distance based on distance ("at least 50% of the distance transported", which could go up to 60% if the terminal capacity problems are resolved i.e. by 2035).
- 150km + suitability criteria: partially digialised definition not really eFTI-compliant + revision clause
- No change to the current definition: but extension of scope to include domestic intermodal.

